

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
9/084,787 0	5/21/98	HARASAWA		S	FUJH13.010A
_		DMO@ / 0.1.0.0	コ		EXAMINER
ELFGOTT AND K	ARAS	PM82/0103		MOSKOW:	ITZ,N
MPIRE STATE B			••	ART UN	IT PAPER NUMBER
OTH FLOOR EW YORK NY 10	118			3662	
				DATE MAIL	ED: 01/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## **JES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE** Patent a. /rademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/084787	5/2//98	HAR ASAWA	FUSHIB, OlOM
en e	e de la companya de La companya de la co	A Committee of the Comm	The Mark of the Committee of the Committ
	and the second of the second o		EXAMINER
en de la companya de La companya de la co	and the second of the second		NMOSKOW ITE
Secretarian Commencer and Commencer	The African Source (proper or same)	na en la lasta estado 🕻	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
in the administrative of the production of the control of the cont	n 1900 S. Taja Jawa Kabupatan Sangaran Jajang Managaran Banggaran Sangaran	the state of the s	3662 m m/m/m when when
	INTERV	EW SUMMARY	ATE MAILED: ARE CONTROL OF AN AREADY
	and the second of the second o		g na na <mark>sta.</mark> Tuga at in na laguna. Ng kamangan
All participants (applicant, applicant	it's representative, PTO personnel	<b>)</b> Programme to the first of the Control of the Co	<ul> <li>Control of the Control of the Control</li></ul>
(1) ACQULINE	STEADY	(3)	A. 48
(2) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	' <b>(*)</b>	international production of the contract of th
Date of Interview / 2/2	9/7/	i AMPONI, Deliver i prime fra estimatione de la production de Tambéta de la companya de la production de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la comp	The first of the control of the cont
Type: Telephonic Persona	I (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative).	an in the fireward with with his paint of the
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	nducted: Yes No If yes, b	orief description:	100 for the month of the More self with the self with the self with the form self with the self with
			ে প্ৰতি হৈছে প্ৰতি কৰিছে । ১৯ চনত কৰিছে প্ৰতি কৰিছে ।
Agreement was reached.	ens not received		্ৰিল্প নিৰ্মান কৰি নাম কৰিছে কৰা নিৰ্মাণ কৰিছে। বিভাগি কি বিক্তিব্যৱস্থান কৰি বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় কৰিছে বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় কৰিছে বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় কৰিছে বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় ক
	-17		कर अवित्रेष्ट्रायक्ष्मीय क्षेत्रक स्थिति । स्वरूपिक्षायक्षीयक्ष्मीय । जन्म १८ व्यवस्थित १, १५ व्यवस्थितिक स्थापि
Claim(s) discussed: /		•	eliter apie pisti pagakgas
Identification of prior art discussed:	appliants	figures !	1-16 and
Heidemann			The state of the s
Description of the general nature of	what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other co	Perus of
reason re	2 0 A	Se al la la la constant de con	
1.	0	- Sendy	13 03 ( /05 wax
accepted an	el argument	as to phy	real transport
of Heidenann	amp system	to admit	ed prior out se
teaching of X.	edeman fl	or jused, a	vas addressed
(A fuller description, if necessary, a	nd a copy of the amendments, if a	vailable, which the examiner ago	eed would render the claims allowable
THUST DE GITACHEN. MISO WHELE HO C	opy of the amendments which wo	uld render the claims allowable is	available, a summary thereof must be
1. It is not necessary for applica	777	the substance of the interview.	inground results
Unless the paragraph above has be	en checked to indicate to the cont JDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE II PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	rary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RES NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
is considered to fulfill the resp the interview unless box 1 abo	nat may be present in the last Offic onse requirements of the last Offic ove is also checked.	ce action, and since the claims ar	response to each of the objections, e now allowable, this completed form d from providing a separate record of
Examiner Note: You must sign this for	orm unless it is an attachment to a	nother form.	्राम् व व स्थान हिन्द्र अने हैं है ।
FORM <b>PTOL-413</b> (REV.1-96)	Signal and Jakanesia of the Control		- Parting the property of the Medical Medical Community (1997) - Parting the Community (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (19 - Parting the Community (1997) (199

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

.....§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability. 

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures 1. 04.51

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview. 🌭

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted, The company of the second
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed;
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,

A. 1

Fred James and the Fred

- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c) ).

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.